

URANIUM POWDER PRODUCTION VIA HYDRIDE FORMATION AND ALPHA
PHASE SINTERING OF URANIUM AND URANIUM-ZIRCONIUM ALLOYS FOR
ADVANCED NUCLEAR FUEL APPLICATIONS

A Thesis

by

DAVID JOSEPH GARNETTI

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of
Texas A&M University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

December 2009

Major Subject: Nuclear Engineering

URANIUM POWDER PRODUCTION VIA HYDRIDE FORMATION AND ALPHA
PHASE SINTERING OF URANIUM AND URANIUM-ZIRCONIUM ALLOYS FOR
ADVANCED NUCLEAR FUEL APPLICATIONS

A Thesis

by

DAVID JOSEPH GARNETTI

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of
Texas A&M University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Approved by:

Chair of Committee,	Sean M. McDeavitt
Committee Members,	Ibrahim Karaman
	Lin Shao
Head of Department,	Raymond Juzaitis

December 2009

Major Subject: Nuclear Engineering

ABSTRACT

Uranium Powder Production via Hydride Formation and Alpha Phase Sintering of Uranium and Uranium-Zirconium Alloys for Advanced Nuclear Fuel Applications. (December 2009)

David Joseph Garnetti, B.S. Physics, Florida State University

Chair of Advisory Committee: Dr. Sean M. McDevitt

The research in this thesis covers the design and implementation of a depleted uranium (DU) powder production system and the initial results of a DU-Zr-Mg alloy alpha phase sintering experiment where the Mg is a surrogate for Pu and Am. The powder production system utilized the uranium hydrogen interaction in order to break down larger pieces of uranium into fine powder. After several iterations, a successful reusable system was built. The nominal size of the powder product was on the order of 1 to 3 μm .

The resulting uranium powder was pressed into pellets of various compositions (DU, DU-10Zr, DU-Mg, DU-10Zr-Mg) and heated to approximately 650°C, just below the alpha-beta phase transition of uranium. The dimensions of the pellets were measured before and after heating and *in situ* dimension changes were measured using a linear variable differential transducer (LVDT).

Post experiment measurement of the pellets proved to be an unreliable indicator of sintering due to the cracking of the pellets during cool down. The cracking caused increases in the diameter and height of the samples. The cracks occurred in greater frequency along the edges of the pellets. All of the pellets, except the DU-10Zr-Mg pellet, were slightly conical in shape. This is believed to be an artifact of the powder pressing procedure. A greater

density occurs on one end of the pellet during pressing and thus leads to gradient in the sinter rate of the pellet. The LVDT measurements proved to be extremely sensitive to outside vibration, making a subset of the data inappropriate for analysis.

The pellets were also analyzed using electron microscopy. All pellets showed signs of sintering and an increase in density. The pellets with the greatest densification and lowest porosity were the DU-Mg and DU-10Zr-Mg. The DU-Mg pellet had a porosity of $14 \pm 2\%$. The DU-10Zr-Mg porosity could not be conclusively determined due to lack of clearly visible pores in the image, however there were very few pores indicating a high degree of sintering. In the DU-10Zr-Mg alloy, large grains of DU were surrounded by Zr. This phenomena was not present in the DU-10Zr pellet where the Zr and DU stayed segregated. There was no indication of alloying between the Zr and DU in pellets.

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my family. Without their support I would not have been able to make it this far.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my committee chair, Dr. McDeavitt, for his guidance and support throughout the course of this research.

I would like to thank the Y-12 plant in Oak Ridge Tennessee and Dr. D. Cecala specifically, for their help in procuring the depleted uranium used in this research.

I would like to thank Kevin Hogan for his help on the MatLAB coding and Ryoji Oinuma for his help on the LabView coding.

I would like to thank Grant Helmreich and Julie Borgmeyer for their help on this project and their microscopy work.

I would like to thank Alissa Stafford for her support in the writing and editing of this thesis.

NOMENCLATURE

TRU	Transuranics
DU	Depleted Uranium
EBR II	Experimental Breeder Reactor II
IFR	Integral Fast Reactor
LVDT	Linear Variable Differential Transformer
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	iii
DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
NOMENCLATURE	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. BACKGROUND	6
2.1 Sintering	6
2.2 Alpha Phase Uranium	9
2.2.1 Uranium/Alpha Phase Uranium	9
2.2.2 Alpha Phase Uranium in Uranium Zirconium Alloys	11
2.3 The Uranium Hydride/Dehydride Process	13
2.3.1 Uranium Hydride	13
2.3.2 Dehydriding Uranium	15
2.3.3 Uranium Oxide Removal with Nitric Acid	16
3. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND PROCEDURES	18
3.1 Powder Production Experimental Design	19
3.1.1 Process Gas Flow	20
3.1.2 Titanium Getter/Oxygen and Moisture Trap	22
3.1.3 Hydride/Dehydride Reaction Vessel	24
3.1.4 Nitric Acid Washing of Samples	28
3.2 Powder Production Experimental Procedures	29
3.2.1 Sample Preparation	29
3.2.2 Hydride Reaction	30
3.3 Pellet Pressing Design and Procedures	31

	Page
3.4 Alpha Phase Sintering Experimental Design	32
3.5 Alpha Phase Sintering Experimental Procedures	35
4. RESULTS	37
4.1 Powder Production Experiments	37
4.1.1 Airlock Setup	37
4.1.2 Initial Furnace Well Setups	40
4.1.3 Successful Furnace Well Setup	43
4.1.4 Digital Microscopy of the DU Powder	50
4.2 Alpha Phase Sintering Experiments	53
4.2.1 LVDT Calibration	53
4.2.2 Physical Observation and LVDT Data of Pellets	56
4.2.3 Microscopy of the Pellets	75
5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	76
5.1 Powder Production	76
5.1.1 Successful Development of a Powder Production System	76
5.1.2 Initial Failures and Contamination	76
5.1.3 Powder Production Limitations	77
5.1.4 Limitations on DU Powder Characterization	79
5.2 Alpha Phase Sintering Experiments	80
5.2.1 Proof of Concept of Alpha Phase Sintering and System Design	80
5.2.2 SEM Image Analysis	80
5.2.3 Post Experiment Dimensional Measurements	98
5.2.4 LVDT Measurement Analysis	102
6. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	105
REFERENCES	107
VITA	109