

ENGINEERED STORAGE FORMS FOR THE IMMOBILIZATION OF  
RADIOACTIVE CESIUM AND STRONTIUM FROM SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL

A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty

of

Purdue University

by

Luis Humberto Ortega

In Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree

of

Master of Science in Nuclear Engineering

August 2006

Purdue University

West Lafayette, Indiana

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my primary adviser Sean M. McDeavitt, graduate committee co-chair Rodney W. Trice, and co-chair Lefteri Tsoukalas whose encouragement brought me to Purdue Nuclear Engineering. I would also like thank the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, the Purdue Doctoral Fellowship, and the Gerald I. Gilbert Memorial Scholarship for helping pay my salary.

This project would not have begun without the suggestion of Monica Regalbuto at Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) and would have been immeasurably more difficult without the help of Julie Tripp at Idaho National Laboratory (INL), John Law (INL), and Candido Pereira (ANL). I would like to thank Donald Graczyk for his ICP-EOS and ICP-MS analysis at the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory at ANL.

This project was funded by Nuclear Energy Research Initiative, for the Advanced Fuel Cycle Initiative. Project Title: Engineered Materials for Cesium and Strontium Storage, Award Number: DE-FC07-06ID14737.

I would also like to thank Paul Mews, Josh Walter, Hayden Olenik, and Adam Parkison for their help in the lab, and Edie Cassell for her support and editing assistance.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	vi
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	vii
ABBREVIATIONS . . . . .	viii
GLOSSARY . . . . .	ix
ABSTRACT . . . . .	x
1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1 Background & Motivation; The AFCI . . . . .	2
1.2 Objective . . . . .	6
2 Nuclear Waste Management Survey . . . . .	7
2.1 Steam Reforming . . . . .	8
2.1.1 Industrial Process Description . . . . .	11
2.1.2 Sodium Bearing Tank Waste . . . . .	12
2.1.3 Low Activity Tank Waste . . . . .	15
2.1.4 Organic Tank Waste . . . . .	17
2.2 Survey of other Radioactive Waste Technologies . . . . .	19
2.2.1 Waste Canisters . . . . .	19
2.2.2 Vitrification . . . . .	20
2.2.3 Grout and Concrete . . . . .	20
2.2.4 Zeolites and Ion Exchange Materials . . . . .	21
2.2.5 SYNROC . . . . .	21
2.2.6 Calcination . . . . .	22
3 Experimental . . . . .	23
3.1 Solvent Destruction . . . . .	23
3.1.1 Solvent Definition for Experimental Set-up . . . . .	23

	Page
3.1.2 Off-Gas Reactions . . . . .	25
3.2 Product Material Expectations . . . . .	26
3.2.1 Pollucite . . . . .	26
3.2.2 Strontianite . . . . .	28
3.3 Experimental Configuration 1 . . . . .	30
3.3.1 Configuration 1 Set-up . . . . .	30
3.3.2 Chemical Feed . . . . .	31
3.3.3 Experimental Procedure . . . . .	33
3.4 Experimental Configuration 2 . . . . .	35
3.4.1 Configuration 2 Set-up . . . . .	35
3.4.2 Chemical Feed . . . . .	36
3.4.3 Experimental Procedure . . . . .	36
4 Results . . . . .	37
4.1 Experimental Configuration 1 . . . . .	38
4.1.1 Experiment 1 . . . . .	38
4.1.2 Experiment 2 . . . . .	39
4.1.3 Experiment 3 . . . . .	40
4.1.4 Experiment 4 . . . . .	42
4.1.5 Experiment 5 . . . . .	43
4.1.6 Experiment 6 . . . . .	45
4.1.7 Experiment 7 . . . . .	48
4.1.8 Experiment 8 . . . . .	51
4.2 Experimental Configuration 2 . . . . .	53
4.2.1 Experiment 9 . . . . .	54
4.2.2 Experiment 10 . . . . .	56
5 Discussion . . . . .	60
6 Conclusions & Recommendations . . . . .	65
LIST OF REFERENCES . . . . .	67

	Page
APPENDICES . . . . .	71
Appendix A: ANL Analytical Chemistry Lab Results . . . . .	71
Appendix B: Experimental System Images . . . . .	71

## ABSTRACT

Ortega, Luis H. M.S.N.E., Purdue University, August, 2006. Engineered Storage Forms for the Immobilization of Radioactive Cesium and Strontium from Spent Nuclear Fuel. Major Professor: Sean M. McDevitt.

Cesium and strontium ( $^{137}\text{Cs}$ :  $T_{1/2} = 30.07y$ ;  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ :  $T_{1/2} = 28.78y$ ) bearing radioactive wastes are particularly serious radioactive hazards. The goal of waste form development is to immobilize these isotopes and isolate them from the biosphere. In addition, the potential for putting into effect an advanced fuel cycle which separates these isotopes from the bulk of the spent nuclear fuel is a driving force for research to find cheaper and safer ways to treat these potential new cesium and strontium bearing wastes. The separation of these elements is being considered because the majority of the heat load and radiation coming from spent nuclear fuel for the first 300 years comes from cesium and strontium. Steam reforming may be an effective way to treat these cesium and strontium wastes without the high temperatures associated with vitrification and other processes which can volatilize the radioactive elements. Simulated Fission Product Extraction (FPEX) waste streams were created consisting of cesium and or strontium nitrates dissolved in dilute nitric acid. This aqueous solution was reacted with kaolin ( $\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$ ) and carbon at  $\sim 700^\circ\text{C}$  under a fluidizing flow of steam or argon. Silica beads were added to the process vessel as a seed material to provide a surface for deposition of the waste material. Under steam, a glass-like material was created with cesium and strontium loadings of  $2.77 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.11 \pm 0.01$  mass %, respectively. Under argon the experiments created cesium aluminum silicate ( $\text{CsAlSiO}_4$ ) and strontianite ( $\text{SrCO}_3$ ) with theoretical maximum loadings of cesium and strontium of 56.6 and 60 mass % respectively.